Introduction
Various debates on the effects of greenhouse gases on the global warming affecting the Earth are held nowadays. According to many climatologists, there is no doubt that humans and their activities, particularly in the form of increasing greenhouse gases (such as carbon dioxide), are the main factors of the increasing average annual temperature. According to the so-called climate sceptics, however, the natural cycles, such as the fluctuation of solar activity, are the main cause of global warming. A theory about whether a thing like global warming even exists is worth thinking [1–3].

Carbon dioxide storage
For energy storage gases there are usually used high volume underground tanks or above ground pressure tanks including tanks for storage of liquid gases [4]. Various methods, which are used for carbon dioxide storage in suitable geological formations below the Earth’s surface, are currently under investigation. This technology is called CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage).

Injection of CO$_2$ into underground geological formations is the basis of the carbon dioxide storage method. Geological structures offer enormous capacities so that global emissions of carbon dioxide could be stored over tens or even hundreds of years. The largest storage quantities are offered by these potential storage sites:

- depleted oil and natural gas fields
- coal seams
- deep saline aquifers (Fig. 1).

The total capacity of particular storage options is listed in Table 1 [5].

| Type of structure                      | Storage capacity in Gt of CO$_2$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deep saline aquifers</td>
<td>400 – 10 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depleted oil and natural gas fields</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal seams</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a precondition that these sites must be located sufficiently deep down below the surface, where there are such strong pressures that would keep carbon dioxide in a liquid state of matter. Otherwise, it would expand, find access to the surface and leak into the atmosphere [5].

Options for CO$_2$ storage in depleted oil and natural gas fields
The first method, which has successfully been implemented for several years, is CO$_2$ storing in depleted oil and natural gas fields. This idea came up in connection with ultimate recovery of the last remaining raw materials from fields using the overpressure method. Thus, depleted oil or natural gas fields, in which yield of the residual petroleum can possibly be...
enhanced by using CO$_2$ injection (it is the so-called EOR method, the Enhanced Oil Recovery) by 10 to 15 % (Fig. 3), are the potential storage sites [7–10].

Ideally, enhanced petroleum yield can eliminate the costs of CO$_2$ capture and storage. This method has been applied for several decades, particularly in the United States. Two objectives are achieved this way (Fig. 2): CO$_2$ storage and enhancement of petroleum yield making the methodology cost-effective. The following basic conditions must be met in the above-mentioned cases:

- minimum depth of the storage site must be approximately 800 m below the Earth’s surface, where carbon dioxide is in the so-called super critical state that is represented by a pressure of 0.74 MPa (7.4 bars) and a temperature of 31.1°C. It has properties of a liquid and a gas in this state.
- hanging wall of the storage area must be impermeable and undamaged (by tectonic activities or previous wells).
- the sealing effect of the reservoir is required too.
- inert gas injection experience should be used to create CO$_2$ storage. Such projects have been implemented in France [9]. The implementation process should include the following stages:
  - reservoir selection;
  - reservoir data collection and analysis;
  - modeling of injection.
- Reservoir selection part should include the following information:
  - total storage capacity;
  - number of existing wells;
  - strategic importance to the company’s system.
- The first two criteria are based on economic and technical considerations; the last prescreening criteria are risk-based criteria that allow a company to exclude a field that has high strategic importance. During this part of the project the following features should be sought and evaluated:
  - high base gas fraction;
  - high structural closure;
  - isolated area for CO$_2$ placement;
  - large downdip area;
  - thin reservoir;
  - favorable porosity and permeability in the probable CO$_2$ injection zone;
  - absence of large-scale heterogeneities;
  - existence of a well (or wells) for CO$_2$ injection;
  - existence of wells for monitoring performance after injection;
  - existence of a well (or wells) for tracer testing;
  - availability of data for reservoir modeling.
- These criteria are based on technical or technical-economic considerations.
The objective of data collection is to provide data required for an engineering analysis of any potential site. Two levels of data are required. First, enough data on the site are needed to qualify the site as a promising candidate for such a project. Second, more detailed data are required to perform an engineering analysis to formulate an implementation plan for project use at a qualified site. A general description of required data is provided in this section.

Most of these data are required to provide input to reservoir models and can be put into the following categories [11–18]:
- reservoir data;
- fluid data;
- performance history data;
- dispersion data.

Defining the reservoir includes detailed geological data (e.g., depth to the top of the structure, net thickness, position of a gas/water contact, extent and strength of any associated aquifer, and the degrees of layering of the reservoir), petrophysical information, characterization of injection/withdrawal and observation wells, and inventory data. This information is used to characterize the structural or stratigraphic trapping mechanism, establish the areal and vertical limits of the reservoir, identify the presence of lenses and pinchouts, and delineate the distribution of fluids in the reservoir. Sources of this category of information consist primarily of well logs, analyses of core and/or cuttings from wells, well completion and testing information. Seismic data, if available, can be used in defining structure and stratigraphy. Knowledge of regional geology helps in filling data gaps by extrapolation/interpolation in areas where well data are sparse.

The desired petrophysical data include porosity, water saturation, permeability, relative permeability, capillary pressure, and rock compressibility. These data are used to compute gas-in-place; to describe spatial variations of porosity, water saturation, and permeability; and to determine the transmissivity of reservoir fluids. Primary sources of these data are well logs, well testing, and core analyses [18–20].

Characterization of wells includes compiling information on exact bottom-hole location, size of tubulars, type of completion, completed interval, flow potential, skin (damage or stimulation), and workover history. These data are essential for reservoir simulation and can be found in well files. The required inventory data include an estimate of total gas in the field as a function of time and as determined by volumetric and material balance methods. The purpose of this exercise is not only to determine the integrity of the storage field but also to generate confidence in the estimated size of the storage reservoir. If gas has been lost from the field, there is also needed an estimate of when and how much.

Fluid data required include viscosity, compressibility, and density for gas and liquid phases. For both phases, these properties are input as a function of pressure. Primary sources for these data are periodic sample analyses for fluids produced and/or injected. It is not uncommon to simply have compositional analyses performed on samples.

Historical pressure/withdrawal data are an important part of a reservoir simulation study. The specific data desired include injection and withdrawal rates, flowing and static well pressures. Ideally, injection and withdrawal rates will be available for each well. Alternatively, injection and withdrawal rates may be available on a field-wide basis only. Allocation of field-wide rates to individual wells requires knowledge of well performance, pressures in the gathering systems and in the reservoir, and the usage pattern of wells by the operator. Periodic backpressure tests on wells provide an excellent basis for allocation of field-wide flow rates. Liquid production rates should be obtained as well. These data are used for history matching purposes to refine the description of the reservoir. Generally, flow rate data are input to the model, and the computed pressure data are compared with actual measured pressures as functions of both time and position in the reservoir.

For such studies we can use extensive experience in the analysis of cyclic operation of underground gas storage in Western Ukraine [21–23].

The degree to which CO$_2$ and natural gases mix in a gas storage reservoir will have a large impact on the design of any CO$_2$ project. Reservoir heterogeneities of all scales (from variations in individual pore throat dimensions to larger scale areal and vertical variations in rock properties) do affect mixing and must be accounted for in the reservoir simulation to arrive at a meaningful CO$_2$ injection strategy. The best method of estimating the dispersion coefficient is to perform a tracer test a direct measurement of dispersion on the reservoir in an area of the field where the CO$_2$/natural gas interface is expected to be. A tracer test involves the injection of natural gas spiked with a small concentration of tracer gas. The concentration of the tracer is then monitored as it mixes with untraced gas in the reservoir.

The objective of the modeling is to describe the static (reservoir pore volume) and dynamic (changes in reservoir pressures as a result of storage inject/withdrawal operations) characteristics of the storage reservoir and any associated aquifer. The final reservoir description arrived at by the engineering analysis should be able to predict the long-term performance of the gas storage in the presence of CO$_2$ project. The results of the engineering analysis will be used in the detailed risk assessment for assigning probabilities to the event tree.

As the calculations are too complex to be done by conventional tools of reservoir engineering, mathematical models are used to describe the physical processes occurring in a reservoir. The equations used in such models are generally non-linear and require numerical solutions using a computer program.

**Options for CO$_2$ storage in depleted coal deposits**

One of the options for CO$_2$ storage is non-produced or abandoned coal seams. Deep coal seams can not sometimes be mined because they are too thin or placed too deep, which reduces their economic importance. However, they usually contain a certain
amount of methane [5]. Coal can absorb carbon dioxide very well. Therefore, it makes suitable environment for its storage. Gaseous CO$_2$ is injected through wells, flows through a porous coal system, is dispersed into the coal seam and is adsorbed into the micro pores (Fig. 4). Forcing CO$_2$ into coal seams showed that CO$_2$ bonded coal better than methane, which resulted in release of methane. The coal seam produces methane, which can be used economically, due to the placement of carbon dioxide. Since coal seams had been storing methane for tens of millions of years, it is very likely that they will similarly bond CO$_2$ for next tens of thousands of years. The CO$_2$ storage in coal seams is subject to:

- the physical properties of the stored carbon dioxide.
- the physical properties of coal.
- the geological characteristics of the potential storage site.

**Figure 4 – Scheme of coal methane displacement by CO$_2$ injection into coal seams [4]**

**CO$_2$ storage options in the Slovak Republic**

Although the sites in the Vienna Basin are considered to be the most suitable for this purpose, they are and will probably be used for natural gas storage even in the future. A feasibility study of hydrocarbon deposits located in Eastern Slovakia, which are situated near several producers of carbon dioxide, is currently being prepared. The sites are, for example, Senné, Streťava, Pozdišovce – Trhovište. There are also smaller potential storage sites such as Bánovce nad Ondavou, Příluš, Višňov, Trebišov and Kravany (Fig. 5). The total potential storage capacity of these areas is 49.9 Mt of CO$_2$. Since the Senné storage site was considered as a possible future natural gas underground storage facility, it appears to be the most promising out of all for the purpose of carbon dioxide storage. It was found out that this area can store about 15.2 Mt of CO$_2$. An important factor when considering the storage in these sites is the fact that several large CO$_2$ sources, such as Vojany – an annual CO$_2$ production of over 2.6 Mt and the compressor station of Veľké Kapušany – CO$_2$ production of 0.45 Mt, are located only a few kilometres from these potential CO$_2$ storage sites. On the other hand, these suitable storage structures are located in populated areas, and therefore the residents will naturally try to avoid having a facility like this near their homes.

Another option, which can be used to store carbon dioxide in Slovakia, is coal seams (Fig. 6). The potential storage sites of this type are located there where coal is currently extracted in the Slovak Republic. Theoretically, these areas will be available for CO$_2$ storage after having been depleted. These sites include Nováky, Handlová, Čigel', which are located in the Upper Nitra Basin. Another important area of brown coal occurrence is located in the South Slovak Basin, where there are verified coal seams in the Modrý Kameň, Horné Strháre, Luboriečka, Žihľava, Červeňany.

**Figure 5 – Options for CO$_2$ storage into the depleted hydrocarbon fields in the Eastern Slovak Neogene Basin [6]**
and Veľký Lom deposits, in the Lower Miocene. There is a known brown coal deposit, Štúrovo (Obid), in the Danube Basin, in the Štúrovský Palaeogene. Lignite deposits located in the Slovak part of the Vienna Basin, Gbely – Kúty, where the only deposit, Gbely, is extracted, are also significant. Beladice and Pukanec (Fig. 6) are famous lignite deposits in the northern part of the Danube Basin, in the Komjatice Valley. The famous deposit of Hnojné of low rank lignite seams has been located in the Eastern Slovak Basin since 1950s. There are not any verified potential storage capacities in relation to the future CO₂ storage options in these deposits [5, 6, 10].

The largest producers of carbon dioxide CO₂ in 2013 are mainly companies which are located mainly in the territory of Eastern Slovakia (see Fig. 7). From an economic point of view it would be stored carbon dioxide into the excavation hydrocarbon deposits rights in the territory in the eastern part of Slovakia.

**Conclusions**

The notion of CO₂ storage in deep geological structures also has its opponents. Some of them claim and are convinced that this is a dead end of technological development, particularly because it is very energy demanding. They argue that the CCS technology is mostly promoted by particular mining, petroleum and natural gas exploration and production companies. The mining companies see carbon dioxide-emission reduction like a way to continue mining and burning of coal, the latter like the opportunity for increasing usage of oil and natural gas fields. Their other objection is a fact that the CCS technology is not sustainable; it allows putting off solving the current problems to the future and that technology itself causes other problems. They argue that the financial means, which would be invested in the development and operation of the CCS technology, would drain off a portion of the allocated financial means for other methods of CO₂ emissions reduction; in particular for the equipment and energy efficiency development and renewable energy sources, which are potentially more sustainable. The issue of very energy demanding operation of the CCS technology is mainly worth considering. Global climate changes will likely be a very important factor that could significantly change life conditions on the Earth. According to our opinions and due to the aforementioned fact, it is necessary for a part of science research to focus on the issues of carbon dioxide capture and storage.
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В статті подано огляд конкретних рішень і підходів до вирішення питання про можливості зберігання двокису вуглецю у Словаччині. Розглянуто також шляхи уловлювання і подальшого зберігання вуглексилого газу в підземних геологічних формах. Технологія уловлювання і зберігання вуглецю (УЗВ), яка здатна досягти перспективною в даному контексті, – одна з таких технологій. В різних країнах світу виконують великі і малі проекти з УЗВ. Це зумовлює важливість пошуку рішень та підходів до питання СО₂ і можливостей його зберігання в Словаччині. Концепція зберігання СО₂ в глибоких геологічних формах має прихильників та противників. Глобальні зміни клімату – дуже важливий фактор, який може суттєво змінити умови життя на Землі.
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